

## 7. Fubini Theorem

**Definition 59** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be two measurable spaces. Let  $E \subseteq \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ . For all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , we call  $\omega_1$ -**section** of  $E$  in  $\Omega_2$ , the set:

$$E^{\omega_1} \triangleq \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E\}$$

**EXERCISE 1.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ ,  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$  and  $(S, \Sigma)$  be three measurable spaces, and  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow (S, \Sigma)$  be a measurable map. Given  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , define:

$$\Gamma^{\omega_1} \triangleq \{E \subseteq \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, E^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$$

1. Show that for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ ,  $\Gamma^{\omega_1}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ .
2. Show that for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \Gamma^{\omega_1}$ .
3. Show that for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  and  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have  $E^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .
4. Given  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , show that  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega_1, \omega)$  is measurable.

5. Show that  $\theta : (\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$  defined by  $\theta(\omega_2, \omega_1) = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$  is a measurable map.
6. Given  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ , show that  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega, \omega_2)$  is measurable.

**Theorem 29** *Let  $(S, \Sigma)$ ,  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$  be three measurable spaces. Let  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow (S, \Sigma)$  be a measurable map. For all  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , the map  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega_1, \omega)$  is measurable w.r. to  $\mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\Sigma$ , and  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega, \omega_2)$  is measurable w.r. to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\Sigma$ .*

**EXERCISE 2.** Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of measurable spaces with  $\text{card} I \geq 2$ . Let  $f : (\prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{B}(E))$  be a measurable map, where  $(E, d)$  is a metric space. Let  $i_1 \in I$ . Put  $E_1 = \Omega_{i_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{F}_{i_1}$ ,  $E_2 = \prod_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1\}} \Omega_i$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \otimes_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1\}} \mathcal{F}_i$ .

1. Explain why  $f$  can be viewed as a map defined on  $E_1 \times E_2$ .
2. Show that  $f : (E_1 \times E_2, \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{B}(E))$  is measurable.

3. For all  $\omega_{i_1} \in \Omega_{i_1}$ , show that the map  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega_{i_1}, \omega)$  defined on  $\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1\}} \Omega_i$  is measurable w.r. to  $\otimes_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1\}} \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ .

**Definition 60** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space.  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is said to be a **finite measure space**, or we say that  $\mu$  is a **finite measure**, if and only if  $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ .

**Definition 61** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space.  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is said to be a  **$\sigma$ -finite measure space**, or  $\mu$  a  **$\sigma$ -finite measure**, if and only if there exists a sequence  $(\Omega_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\Omega_n \uparrow \Omega$  and  $\mu(\Omega_n) < +\infty$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**EXERCISE 3.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space.

1. Show that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if and only if there exists a sequence  $(\Omega_n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\Omega = \uplus_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n$ , and  $\mu(\Omega_n) < +\infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

2. Show that if  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is finite, then  $\mu$  has values in  $\mathbf{R}^+$ .
3. Show that if  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is finite, then it is  $\sigma$ -finite.
4. Let  $F : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a right-continuous, non-decreasing map. Show that the measure space  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}), dF)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, where  $dF$  is the Stieltjes measure associated with  $F$ .

**EXERCISE 4.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$  be a measurable space, and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. For all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , define:

$$\Phi_E(\omega_1) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of subsets of  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , defined by:

$$\mathcal{D} \triangleq \{E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 : \Phi_E : (\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{R}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})) \text{ is measurable}\}$$

1. Explain why for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , the map  $\Phi_E$  is well defined.

2. Show that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .
3. Show that if  $\mu_2$  is finite,  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$ .
4. Show that if  $E_n \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, n \geq 1$  and  $E_n \uparrow E$ , then  $\Phi_{E_n} \uparrow \Phi_E$ .
5. Show that if  $\mu_2$  is finite then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Dynkin system on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ .
6. Show that if  $\mu_2$  is finite, then the map  $\Phi_E : (\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{R}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}}))$  is measurable, for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ .
7. Let  $(\Omega_2^n)_{n \geq 1}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  be such that  $\Omega_2^n \uparrow \Omega_2$  and  $\mu_2(\Omega_2^n) < +\infty$ . Define  $\mu_2^n = \mu_2^{\Omega_2^n} = \mu_2(\bullet \cap \Omega_2^n)$ . For  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , we put:

$$\Phi_E^n(\omega_1) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2^n(x)$$

Show that  $\Phi_E^n : (\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{R}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}}))$  is measurable, and:

$$\Phi_E^n(\omega_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} 1_{\Omega_2^n}(x) 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

Deduce that  $\Phi_E^n \uparrow \Phi_E$ .

8. Show that the map  $\Phi_E : (\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{R}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}}))$  is measurable, for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ .
9. Let  $s$  be a simple function on  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$ . Show that the map  $\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} s(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is well defined and measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ .
10. Show the following theorem:

**Theorem 30** *Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$  be a measurable space, and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Then for all non-negative and measurable map  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ , the map:*

$$\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

*is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ .*

**EXERCISE 5.** Let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of measurable spaces, with  $\text{card} I \geq 2$ . Let  $i_0 \in I$ , and suppose that  $\mu_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\Omega_{i_0}, \mathcal{F}_{i_0})$ . Show that if  $f : (\prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a non-negative and measurable map, then:

$$\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_{i_0}} f(\omega, x) d\mu_0(x)$$

defined on  $\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}} \Omega_i$ , is measurable w.r. to  $\otimes_{i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}} \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ .

**EXERCISE 6.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  be two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. For all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , we define:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x)$$

1. Explain why  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 : \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is well defined.
2. Show that  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ .

3. Show that if  $A \times B \in \mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$ , then:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(A \times B) = \mu_1(A)\mu_2(B)$$

**EXERCISE 7.** Further to ex. (6), suppose that  $\mu : \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is another measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  with  $\mu(A \times B) = \mu_1(A)\mu_2(B)$ , for all measurable rectangle  $A \times B$ . Let  $(\Omega_1^n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(\Omega_2^n)_{n \geq 1}$  be sequences in  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  respectively, such that  $\Omega_1^n \uparrow \Omega_1$ ,  $\Omega_2^n \uparrow \Omega_2$ ,  $\mu_1(\Omega_1^n) < +\infty$  and  $\mu_2(\Omega_2^n) < +\infty$ . Define, for all  $n \geq 1$ :

$$\mathcal{D}_n \triangleq \{E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 : \mu(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n))\}$$

1. Show that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ .
2. Show that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is a Dynkin system on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ .
3. Show that  $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ .
4. Show that  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.



5. Show that for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y)$$

**EXERCISE 8.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_n)$  be  $n$   $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and put  $E_1 = \Omega_{i_0}$ ,  $E_2 = \prod_{i \neq i_0} \Omega_i$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{F}_{i_0}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \otimes_{i \neq i_0} \mathcal{F}_i$ . Put  $\nu_1 = \mu_{i_0}$ , and suppose that  $\nu_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(E_2, \mathcal{E}_2)$  such that for all measurable rectangle  $\prod_{i \neq i_0} A_i \in \prod_{i \neq i_0} \mathcal{F}_i$ , we have  $\nu_2(\prod_{i \neq i_0} A_i) = \prod_{i \neq i_0} \mu_i(A_i)$ .

1. Show that  $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on the measure space  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$  such that for all measurable rectangles  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ , we have:

$$\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$$

2. Show by induction the existence of a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ ,

such that for all measurable rectangles  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ , we have:

$$\mu(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$$

3. Show the uniqueness of such measure, denoted  $\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$ .
4. Show that  $\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.
5. Let  $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Show that  $\mu_{i_0} \otimes (\otimes_{i \neq i_0} \mu_i) = \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$ .

**Definition 62** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_n)$  be  $n$   $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces, with  $n \geq 2$ . We call **product measure** of  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ , the unique measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ , denoted  $\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$ , such that for all measurable rectangles  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{F}_n$ , we have:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$$

*This measure is itself  $\sigma$ -finite.*

**EXERCISE 9.** Prove that the following definition is legitimate:

**Definition 63** We call **Lebesgue measure** in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , the unique measure on  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ , denoted  $dx$ ,  $dx^n$  or  $dx_1 \dots dx_n$ , such that for all  $a_i \leq b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have:

$$dx([a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n]) = \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)$$

**EXERCISE 10.**

1. Show that  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n), dx^n)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.
2. For  $n, p \geq 1$ , show that  $dx^{n+p} = dx^n \otimes dx^p$ .

**EXERCISE 11.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite.

1. Let  $s$  be a simple function on  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$ . Show that:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} s d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} s d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} s d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_2$$

2. Show the following:

**Theorem 31 (Fubini)** *Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  be two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a non-negative and measurable map. Then:*

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_2$$

**EXERCISE 12.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_n)$  be  $n$   $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a non-negative, measurable map. Let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $\mathbf{N}_n$ , i.e. a bijection from  $\mathbf{N}_n$  to itself.

1. For all  $\omega \in \prod_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i$ , define:

$$J_1(\omega) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(1)}(x)$$

Explain why  $J_1 : (\prod_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a well defined, non-negative and measurable map.

2. Suppose  $J_k : (\prod_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a non-negative, measurable map, for  $1 \leq k < n - 2$ . Define:

$$J_{k+1}(\omega) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(k+1)}} J_k(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(k+1)}(x)$$

and show that:

$$J_{k+1} : (\prod_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k+1)\}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k+1)\}} \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$$

is also well-defined, non-negative and measurable.

3. Propose a rigorous definition for the following notation:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

**EXERCISE 13.** Further to ex. (12), Let  $(f_p)_{p \geq 1}$  be a sequence of non-negative and measurable maps:

$$f_p : (\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$$

such that  $f_p \uparrow f$ . Define similarly:

$$J_1^p(\omega) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f_p(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(1)}(x)$$

$$J_{k+1}^p(\omega) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(k+1)}} J_k^p(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(k+1)}(x), \quad 1 \leq k < n - 2$$

1. Show that  $J_1^p \uparrow J_1$ .
2. Show that if  $J_k^p \uparrow J_k$ , then  $J_{k+1}^p \uparrow J_{k+1}$ ,  $1 \leq k < n - 2$ .

3. Show that:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f_p d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)} \uparrow \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

4. Show that the map  $\mu : \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ , defined by:

$$\mu(E) = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} 1_E d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ .

5. Show that for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ , we have:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n(E) = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} 1_E d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

6. Show the following:

**Theorem 32** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_n)$  be  $n$   $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces, with  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a non-negative and measurable map. Let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $\mathbf{N}_n$ . Then:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n} f d\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

**EXERCISE 14.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space. Define:

$$L^1 \triangleq \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}, \exists g \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu), f = g \text{ } \mu\text{-a.s.}\}$$

1. Show that if  $f \in L^1$ , then  $|f| < +\infty$ ,  $\mu$ -a.s.
2. Suppose there exists  $A \subseteq \Omega$ , such that  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subseteq N$  for some  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(N) = 0$ . Show that  $1_A \in L^1$  and  $1_A$  is not measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ .
3. Explain why if  $f \in L^1$ , the integrals  $\int |f| d\mu$  and  $\int f d\mu$  may not be well defined.



4. Suppose that  $f : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{R}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}}))$  is a measurable map with  $\int |f| d\mu < +\infty$ . Show that  $f \in L^1$ .
5. Show that if  $f \in L^1$  and  $f = f_1$   $\mu$ -a.s. then  $f_1 \in L^1$ .
6. Suppose that  $f \in L^1$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  are such that  $f = g_1$   $\mu$ -a.s. and  $f = g_2$   $\mu$ -a.s.. Show that  $\int g_1 d\mu = \int g_2 d\mu$ .
7. Propose a definition of the integral  $\int f d\mu$  for  $f \in L^1$  which extends the integral defined on  $L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ .

**EXERCISE 15.** Further to ex. (14), Let  $(f_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $L^1$ , and  $f, h \in L^1$ , with  $f_n \rightarrow f$   $\mu$ -a.s. and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|f_n| \leq h$   $\mu$ -a.s..

1. Show the existence of  $N_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(N_1) = 0$ , such that for all  $\omega \in N_1^c$ ,  $f_n(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega)$ , and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|f_n(\omega)| \leq h(\omega)$ .
2. Show the existence of  $g_n, g, h_1 \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  and  $N_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(N_2) = 0$ , such that for all  $\omega \in N_2^c$ ,  $g(\omega) = f(\omega)$ ,  $h(\omega) = h_1(\omega)$ , and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $g_n(\omega) = f_n(\omega)$ .

3. Show the existence of  $N \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(N) = 0$ , such that for all  $\omega \in N^c$ ,  $g_n(\omega) \rightarrow g(\omega)$ , and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|g_n(\omega)| \leq h_1(\omega)$ .
4. Show that the Dominated Convergence Theorem can be applied to  $g_n 1_{N^c}$ ,  $g 1_{N^c}$  and  $h_1 1_{N^c}$ .
5. Recall the definition of  $\int |f_n - f| d\mu$  when  $f, f_n \in L^1$ .
6. Show that  $\int |f_n - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0$ .

**EXERCISE 16.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  be two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let  $f$  be an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ . Let  $\theta : (\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$  be the map defined by  $\theta(\omega_2, \omega_1) = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$  for all  $(\omega_2, \omega_1) \in \Omega_2 \times \Omega_1$ .

1. Let  $A = \{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : \int_{\Omega_2} |f(\omega_1, x)| d\mu_2(x) < +\infty\}$ . Show that  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mu_1(A^c) = 0$ .
2. Show that  $f(\omega_1, \cdot) \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  for all  $\omega_1 \in A$ .

3. Show that  $\bar{I}(\omega_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is well defined for all  $\omega_1 \in A$ . Let  $I$  be an arbitrary extension of  $\bar{I}$ , on  $\Omega_1$ .

4. Define  $J = I1_A$ . Show that:

$$J(\omega) = 1_A(\omega) \int_{\Omega_2} f^+(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x) - 1_A(\omega) \int_{\Omega_2} f^-(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

5. Show that  $J$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable and  $\mathbf{R}$ -valued.

6. Show that  $J \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  and that  $J = I$   $\mu_1$ -a.s.

7. Propose a definition for the integral:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x)$$

8. Show that  $\int_{\Omega_1} (1_A \int_{\Omega_2} f^+ d\mu_2) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f^+ d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ .

9. Show that:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \quad (1)$$

10. Show that if  $f \in L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ , then the map  $\omega_1 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, y) d\mu_2(y)$  is  $\mu_1$ -almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$ , and furthermore that (1) is still valid.

11. Show that if  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is non-negative and measurable, then  $f \circ \theta$  is non-negative and measurable, and:

$$\int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} f \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

12. Show that if  $f \in L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ , then  $f \circ \theta$  is an element of  $L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_2 \otimes \mu_1)$ , and:

$$\int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} f \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

13. Show that if  $f \in L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ , then the map  $\omega_2 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_1} f(x, \omega_2) d\mu_1(x)$  is  $\mu_2$ -almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$ , and furthermore:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

**Theorem 33** *Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  be two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let  $f \in L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ . Then, the map:*

$$\omega_1 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

*is  $\mu_1$ -almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  and:*

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

Furthermore, the map:

$$\omega_2 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_1} f(x, \omega_2) d\mu_1(x)$$

is  $\mu_2$ -almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$  and:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

**EXERCISE 17.** Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_n)$  be  $n$   $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $f \in L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a permutation of  $\mathbf{N}_n$ .

1. For all  $\omega \in \prod_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i$ , define:

$$J_1(\omega) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(1)}(x)$$

Explain why  $J_1$  is well defined and equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbb{C}}(\prod_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \mathcal{F}_i, \otimes_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \mu_i)$ ,  $\otimes_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \mu_i$ -almost surely.

2. Suppose  $1 \leq k < n - 2$  and that  $\bar{J}_k$  is well defined and equal to an element of:

$$L_{\mathbf{C}}^1(\prod_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \mathcal{F}_i, \otimes_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \mu_i)$$

$\otimes_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \mu_i$ -almost surely. Define:

$$J_{k+1}(\omega) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(k+1)}} \bar{J}_k(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(k+1)}(x)$$

What can you say about  $J_{k+1}$ .

3. Show that:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

is a well defined complex number. (Propose a definition for it).

4. Show that:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)} = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n} f d\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$$





## Solutions to Exercises

### Exercise 1.

1. Let  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . The  $\omega_1$ -section of  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  in  $\Omega_2$ , is equal to  $\Omega_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . So  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \in \Gamma^{\omega_1}$ . Suppose  $E \in \Gamma^{\omega_1}$ . Then  $E^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .  $\mathcal{F}_2$  being closed under complementation,  $(E^{\omega_1})^c \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . However, given  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ ,  $\omega_2 \in (E^{\omega_1})^c$  is equivalent to  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \notin E$ , i.e.  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E^c$ . So  $(E^{\omega_1})^c = (E^c)^{\omega_1}$ . Hence, we see that  $(E^c)^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . It follows that  $E^c \in \Gamma^{\omega_1}$ , which is therefore closed under complementation. Let  $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\Gamma^{\omega_1}$ . Let  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n$ . For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $(E_n)^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .  $\mathcal{F}_2$  being closed under countable union,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} (E_n)^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . However, given  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ ,  $\omega_2 \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} (E_n)^{\omega_1}$  is equivalent to the existence of  $n \geq 1$ , such that  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E_n$ . Hence, it is equivalent to  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n = E$ . So  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} (E_n)^{\omega_1} = E^{\omega_1}$ , and we see that  $E^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . It follows that  $E \in \Gamma^{\omega_1}$ , which is therefore closed under countable union. We have proved that  $\Gamma^{\omega_1}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ .

2. Let  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , and  $E = A \times B \in \mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$  be a measurable rectangle of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Suppose  $\omega_1 \in A$ . Then  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in E$ , if and only if  $\omega_2 \in B$ . So  $E^{\omega_1} = B \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . Suppose  $\omega_1 \notin A$ . Then for all  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ ,  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \notin E$ . So  $E^{\omega_1} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . In any case,  $E^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . It follows that  $E \in \Gamma^{\omega_1}$ . We have proved that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \Gamma^{\omega_1}$ .
3. From  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \Gamma^{\omega_1}$  and the fact that  $\Gamma^{\omega_1}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2) \subseteq \Gamma^{\omega_1}$ . Hence, for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  and  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $E$  is an element of  $\Gamma^{\omega_1}$ , or equivalently,  $E^{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ .
4. Let  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow (S, \Sigma)$  be a measurable map, where  $(S, \Sigma)$  is a measurable space. Let  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , and  $\phi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow S$  be the partial map  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega_1, \omega)$ . Let  $B \in \Sigma$ . Then  $\{f \in B\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ . Using 3. it follows that the  $\omega_1$ -section  $\{f \in B\}^{\omega_1}$  of  $\{f \in B\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . However, we have:

$$\{f \in B\}^{\omega_1} = \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \{f \in B\}\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : f(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in B\} \\ &= \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : \phi(\omega_2) \in B\} \\ &= \{\phi \in B\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that  $\{\phi \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ . This being true for all  $B \in \Sigma$ , we conclude that  $\phi$  is measurable. This shows that the map  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega_1, \omega)$  is measurable.

5. Let  $\theta : (\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$  be defined by  $\theta(\omega_2, \omega_1) = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ . From theorem (28), in order to show that  $\theta$  is measurable, it is sufficient to prove that each coordinate mapping  $\theta_1 : (\omega_2, \omega_1) \rightarrow \omega_1$  and  $\theta_2 : (\omega_2, \omega_1) \rightarrow \omega_2$  is measurable. This is indeed the case, since for all  $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$  we have  $\theta_1^{-1}(A_1) = \Omega_2 \times A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1$ , and for all  $A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2$  we have  $\theta_2^{-1}(A_2) = A_2 \times \Omega_1 \in \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1$ . So  $\theta$  is measurable.
6. Let  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$ . Let  $g : (\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (S, \Sigma)$  be the map defined by  $g = f \circ \theta$ . Having proved in 5. that  $\theta$  is measurable, since  $f$  is itself measurable,  $g$  is a measurable map. Applying 4.

to  $g$ , it follows that the map  $\omega \rightarrow g(\omega_2, \omega)$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\Sigma$ . In other words, the map  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega, \omega_2)$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\Sigma$ . This completes the proof of theorem (29).

### Exercise 1

**Exercise 2.**

1. There is an obvious bijection  $\Phi$  between  $E_1 \times E_2$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i$ , defined by  $\Phi(\omega_1, \omega_2)(i_1) = \omega_1$ , and  $\Phi(\omega_1, \omega_2)(i) = \omega_2(i)$  for  $i \neq i_1$ . The two sets  $E_1 \times E_2$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i$  can therefore be identified, and  $f$  can be viewed as a map defined on  $E_1 \times E_2$ .
2. Having identified  $E_1 \times E_2$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i$ , using exercise (10) of Tutorial 6 for the partition  $I = \{i_1\} \uplus (I \setminus \{i_1\})$ , we obtain  $\otimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ . So  $f : (E_1 \times E_2, \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{B}(E))$  is measurable.
3. From 2. and theorem (29), given  $\omega_1 \in E_1$ , the map  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega_1, \omega)$  defined on  $E_2$ , is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{E}_2$  and  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ . In other words, given  $\omega_{i_1} \in \Omega_{i_1}$ , the map  $\omega \rightarrow f(\omega_{i_1}, \omega)$  defined on  $\prod_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1\}} \Omega_i$ , is measurable w.r. to  $\otimes_{i \in I \setminus \{i_1\}} \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}(E)$ .

**Exercise 2**

**Exercise 3.**

1. Suppose there exists a sequence  $(\Omega_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $\Omega = \uplus_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n$  and  $\mu(\Omega_n) < +\infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Define  $A_n = \uplus_{k=1}^n \Omega_k$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then:

$$\mu(A_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(\Omega_k) < +\infty$$

and furthermore,  $A_n \uparrow \Omega$ . So  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Conversely, suppose  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite. Let  $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $A_n \uparrow \Omega$  and  $\mu(A_n) < +\infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Define  $\Omega_1 = A_1$ , and  $\Omega_n = A_n \setminus A_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ . Then,  $(\Omega_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\Omega_n \subseteq A_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $\mu(\Omega_n) \leq \mu(A_n) < +\infty$ . Given  $\omega \in \Omega$ , since  $\Omega = \cup_{n=1}^{+\infty} A_n$ , there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\omega \in A_n$ . Let  $p$  be the smallest of such  $n$ . Then  $\omega \in A_p \setminus A_{p-1}$  if  $p \geq 2$ , or  $\omega \in A_1$ . In any case,  $\omega \in \Omega_p$ . Hence, we see that  $\Omega = \cup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n$  and finally  $\Omega = \uplus_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n$ . We conclude that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, if and only

if there exists a sequence  $(\Omega_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $\Omega = \uplus_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n$  and  $\mu(\Omega_n) < +\infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

2. Suppose  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is finite. Then  $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ . For all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , since  $A \subseteq \Omega$ ,  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ . So  $\mu$  takes values in  $\mathbf{R}^+$ .
3. Suppose  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is finite. Then  $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ . Define  $\Omega_n = \Omega$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $(\Omega_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\Omega_n \uparrow \Omega$  and  $\mu(\Omega_n) < +\infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . So  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.
4. Take  $\Omega_n = ]-n, n]$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then,  $\Omega_n \subseteq \Omega_{n+1}$  and we have  $\mathbf{R} = \cup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \Omega_n$ . So  $\Omega_n \uparrow \mathbf{R}$ . Moreover, by definition of the Stieltjes measure (20),  $dF(\Omega_n) = F(n) - F(-n) \in \mathbf{R}^+$ . In particular,  $dF(\Omega_n) < +\infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . We conclude that  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}), dF)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.

### Exercise 3

**Exercise 4.**

1. Let  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ . The characteristic function  $1_E$  is non-negative and measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ . From theorem (29), for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , the partial function  $x \rightarrow 1_E(\omega_1, x)$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . It is also non-negative. It follows that the integral  $\int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is well-defined, for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . Hence, we see that  $\Phi_E$  is a well-defined map on  $\Omega_1$ .
2. Let  $E = A \times B \in \mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$  be a measurable rectangle of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . For all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , we have:

$$\Phi_E(\omega_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} 1_A(\omega_1) 1_B(x) d\mu_2(x) = \mu_2(B) 1_A(\omega_1)$$

Since  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ , the map  $1_A$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable, and consequently  $\Phi_E = \mu_2(B) 1_A$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable. Hence, we see that  $E \in \mathcal{D}$ . We have proved that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ .

3. Suppose  $\mu_2$  is a finite measure. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ . For



all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , from  $1_B = 1_A + 1_{B \setminus A}$ , we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} 1_B(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x) = \int_{\Omega_2} 1_A(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x) + \int_{\Omega_2} 1_{B \setminus A}(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

i.e.  $\Phi_B(\omega_1) = \Phi_A(\omega_1) + \Phi_{B \setminus A}(\omega_1)$ .  $\mu_2$  being a finite measure, all  $\Phi_E$ 's take values in  $\mathbf{R}^+$ . Hence, it is legitimate to write:

$$\Phi_{B \setminus A} = \Phi_B - \Phi_A$$

Since  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$ , both  $\Phi_A$  and  $\Phi_B$  are  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable. We conclude that  $\Phi_{B \setminus A}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable, and  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$ . We have proved that if  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$ .

4. Let  $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  with  $E_n \uparrow E$ . In particular,  $E_n \subseteq E_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , and therefore  $1_{E_n} \leq 1_{E_{n+1}}$ . Moreover,  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ . If  $\omega \in E$ , there exists  $N \geq 1$  such that  $\omega \in E_N$ . For all  $n \geq N$ , we have  $1_{E_n}(\omega) = 1 = 1_E(\omega)$ . If  $\omega \notin E$ , then  $1_{E_n}(\omega) = 0 = 1_E(\omega)$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . In any case,  $1_{E_n}(\omega) \rightarrow 1_E(\omega)$ , and consequently

$1_{E_n} \uparrow 1_E$ . Given  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , we also have  $1_{E_n}(\omega_1, \cdot) \uparrow 1_E(\omega_1, \cdot)$ . From the monotone convergence theorem (19), we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} 1_{E_n}(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

i.e.  $\Phi_{E_n}(\omega_1) \uparrow \Phi_E(\omega_1)$ . We conclude that  $\Phi_{E_n} \uparrow \Phi_E$ .

5. Suppose that  $\mu_2$  is a finite measure. From 2.,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ , and in particular  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ . From 3., whenever  $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$  are such that  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $B \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ , such that  $E_n \uparrow E$ . For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\Phi_{E_n}$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable map. Moreover from 4.,  $\Phi_{E_n} \uparrow \Phi_E$ . In particular,  $\Phi_E = \sup_{n \geq 1} \Phi_{E_n}$  and we conclude that  $\Phi_E$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . So  $E \in \mathcal{D}$ . We have proved that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Dynkin system on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ .
6. Suppose  $\mu_2$  is a finite measure. From 5.,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Dynkin system on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ . From 2., we have  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . The set of measurable rectangles  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$  being closed under finite intersection, from

the Dynkin system theorem (1), we see that  $\mathcal{D}$  also contains the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \sigma(\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$$

We conclude that for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $E$  is an element of  $\mathcal{D}$ , or equivalently, the map  $\Phi_E : (\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{R}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}}))$  is measurable.

7. For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mu_2^n(\Omega_2) = \mu_2(\Omega_2^n) < +\infty$ . So  $\mu_2^n$  is a finite measure. It follows from 6. that for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , the map  $\Phi_E^n$  defined by:

$$\Phi_E^n(\omega_1) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2^n(x)$$

is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . From definition (45), we have:

$$\Phi_E^n(\omega_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} 1_{\Omega_2^n}(x) 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

Since  $\Omega_2^n \uparrow \Omega_2$ , we have  $1_{\Omega_2^n} \uparrow 1_{\Omega_2} = 1$  and consequently,  $1_{\Omega_2^n}(\cdot) 1_E(\omega_1, \cdot) \uparrow 1_E(\omega_1, \cdot)$ . From the monotone convergence

theorem (19), we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} 1_{\Omega_2^n}(x) 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

i.e.  $\Phi_E^n(\omega_1) \uparrow \Phi_E(\omega_1)$ , for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . So  $\Phi_E^n \uparrow \Phi_E$ .

8. From 7., each  $\Phi_E^n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable and  $\Phi_E = \sup_{n \geq 1} \Phi_E^n$ . So  $\Phi_E$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable, for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ .
9. Let  $s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i 1_{E_i}$  be a simple function on  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$ . From theorem (29), the map  $x \rightarrow s(\omega_1, x)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable, for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . It is also non-negative. It follows that the integral  $\int_{\Omega_2} s(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is well-defined, for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . Moreover:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} s(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \int_{\Omega_2} 1_{E_i}(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

Since  $E_i \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , from 8., each  $\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} 1_{E_i}(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable. We conclude that  $\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} s(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is also

$\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable.

10. Let  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a non-negative and measurable map. From theorem (18), there exists a sequence  $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of simple functions on  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$  such that  $s_n \uparrow f$ . In particular for all  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ ,  $s_n(\omega, \cdot) \uparrow f(\omega, \cdot)$ . From the monotone convergence theorem (19), we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} s_n(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

However, from 9., each  $\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} s_n(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable. We conclude that  $\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is also measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ . This proves theorem (30).

Exercise 4

**Exercise 5.** Let  $f : (\prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a non-negative and measurable map. Define  $E_1 = \prod_{i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}} \Omega_i$  and  $E_2 = \Omega_{i_0}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \otimes_{i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}} \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{F}_{i_0}$ . Using exercise (10) of Tutorial 6, having identified  $E_1 \times E_2$  and  $\prod_{i \in I} \Omega_i$ , we have:

$$\otimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i = \left( \otimes_{i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}} \mathcal{F}_i \right) \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i_0}$$

i.e.  $\otimes_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ . It follows that the map  $f$ , viewed as a map defined on  $E_1 \times E_2$ , is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ .  $\mu_0$  being a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(E_2, \mathcal{E}_2)$ , from theorem (30), we see that:

$$\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_{i_0}} f(\omega, x) d\mu_0(x)$$

is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ . In other words, it is measurable with respect to  $\otimes_{i \in I \setminus \{i_0\}} \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ . Exercise 5

**Exercise 6.**

1. Let  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ . The characteristic function  $1_E$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  and non-negative.  $\mu_2$  being a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ , applying theorem (30), we see that:

$$x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_2(y)$$

is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ . It is also non-negative. Hence, the integral:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x)$$

is well-defined, for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ . So  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  is a well-defined map on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , with values in  $[0, +\infty]$ .

2. Suppose  $E = \emptyset$ . Then  $1_E = 0$  and  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = 0$ . Let  $(E_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ . Let

$E = \uplus_{n=1}^{+\infty} E_n$ . Then,  $1_E = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} 1_{E_n}$ . From the monotone convergence theorem (19), for all  $x \in \Omega_1$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_2(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\Omega_2} 1_{E_n}(x, y) d\mu_2(y)$$

Applying the monotone convergence theorem once more:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} 1_{E_n}(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x)$$

i.e.

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E_n)$$

We have proved that  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ .

3. Let  $E = A \times B \in \mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$  be a measurable rectangle of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and



$\mathcal{F}_2$ . For all  $x \in \Omega_1$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_2(y) = \int_{\Omega_2} 1_A(x) 1_B(y) d\mu_2(y) = \mu_2(B) 1_A(x)$$

It follows that:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = \int_{\Omega_1} \mu_2(B) 1_A(x) d\mu_1(x) = \mu_1(A) \mu_2(B)$$

Exercise 6

**Exercise 7.**

1. By assumption, if  $E = A \times B \in \mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$  is a measurable rectangle of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , then  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = \mu_1(A)\mu_2(B) = \mu(E)$ , i.e.  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  and  $\mu$  coincide on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)$  is still a measurable rectangle, i.e. an element of  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$ . Hence  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n))$ . It follows that  $E \in \mathcal{D}_n$ . So  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ .
2.  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ . Let  $E, F \in \mathcal{D}_n$  be such that  $E \subseteq F$ . Then  $F = E \uplus (F \setminus E)$ , and consequently:

$$\mu(F \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) + \mu((F \setminus E) \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) \quad (2)$$

with a similar expression for  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ . Since  $E$  and  $F$  are elements of  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , we also have:

$$\mu(F \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(F \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n))$$

and:

$$\mu(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n))$$

All the terms involved being finite, it is legitimate to re-arrange and simplify equation (2) and its counterpart for  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ , to obtain:

$$\mu((F \setminus E) \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2((F \setminus E) \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n))$$

Hence, we see that  $F \setminus E \in \mathcal{D}_n$ . Let  $(E_p)_{p \geq 1}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , such that  $E_p \uparrow E$ . For all  $p \geq 1$ , we have:

$$\mu(E_p \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E_p \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n))$$

From theorem (7), taking the limit as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain:

$$\mu(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n))$$

It follows that  $E \in \mathcal{D}_n$ . We have proved that  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is a Dynkin system on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ .

- From 1.,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ . From 2.,  $\mathcal{D}_n$  is in fact a Dynkin system on  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ . The set of measurable rectangles  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$  being closed under finite intersection, from the Dynkin system theorem (1), we conclude that  $\mathcal{D}_n$  actually contains the  $\sigma$ -algebra

generated by  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \mathcal{F}_2) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ . Hence, for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $E$  is an element of  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , or equivalently:

$$\mu(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n)) = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n))$$

Since  $E \cap (\Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n) \uparrow E$ , using theorem (7) once more, taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain  $\mu(E) = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E)$ . This being true for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have proved that  $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ .

4. For all  $n \geq 1$ , let  $E_n = \Omega_1^n \times \Omega_2^n$ . Then  $E_n \uparrow \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , and furthermore,  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E_n) = \mu_1(\Omega_1^n) \mu_2(\Omega_2^n) < +\infty$ . We conclude that  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space.
5. For all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , define:

$$\nu(E) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y)$$

Note that this is the same definition as that of  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E)$ , except that the order of integration has been changed. Similarly to exercise (6), using the monotone convergence theorem (19)

twice on infinite series, we see that  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ . Moreover, for all  $E = A \times B \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$  measurable rectangle of  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , we have:

$$\nu(E) = \int_{\Omega_2} \mu_1(A) 1_B(y) d\mu_2(y) = \mu_1(A)\mu_2(B)$$

So  $\nu$  is another measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , coinciding with  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  on the set of measurable rectangles  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ . From 3., we see that  $\nu = \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ . We have proved that for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ :

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y)$$

Hence, as far as defining  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$  is concerned, the order of integration is irrelevant.

## Exercise 7

**Exercise 8.**

1.  $(E_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \nu_1)$  and  $(E_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \nu_2)$  being two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces,  $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$  is well-defined as a measure on  $(E_1 \times E_2, \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2)$  (exercise (6)). From exercise (7), such measure is itself  $\sigma$ -finite. Having identified  $E_1 \times E_2$  with  $\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n$ , using exercise (10) of Tutorial 6, we have:

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_{i_0} \otimes (\otimes_{i \neq i_0} \mathcal{F}_i) = \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$$

So  $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$ . Let  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$  be a measurable rectangle of  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_n$ . Identifying  $A$  with  $A_{i_0} \times (\prod_{i \neq i_0} A_i)$ , we have:

$$\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2(A) = \nu_1(A_{i_0})\nu_2(\prod_{i \neq i_0} A_i)$$

Since by assumption,  $\nu_2(\prod_{i \neq i_0} A_i) = \prod_{i \neq i_0} \mu_i(A_i)$ , we conclude:

$$\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2(A) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$$

2. If  $n = 2$ , there exists a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , such that for all measurable rectangle  $A_1 \times A_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have:

$$\mu(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu_1(A_1)\mu_2(A_2)$$

In fact, from exercise (7), such measure is unique,  $\sigma$ -finite and equal to  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ . Suppose the following induction hypothesis is true for  $n \geq 2$ :

*Given  $n$   $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_n)$ , there exists a measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$ , such that for all measurable rectangles  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ , we have:*

$$\mu(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$$

*Moreover, such measure  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite.*

Let us prove this induction hypothesis for  $n + 1$ . Hence, suppose we have  $n + 1$   $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Take  $E_1 = \Omega_1$  and  $E_2 = \Omega_2 \times \dots \times \Omega_{n+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ . Put  $\nu_1 = \mu_1$ . From our induction hypothesis, there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu_2$  on  $(E_2, \mathcal{E}_2)$ , such that for all measurable

rectangles  $A_2 \times \dots \times A_{n+1}$ , we have:

$$\nu_2(A_2 \times \dots \times A_{n+1}) = \mu_2(A_2) \dots \mu_{n+1}(A_{n+1})$$

All the conditions of question 1. are met: we conclude that  $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_{n+1})$  such that for all measurable rectangles  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_{n+1}$ :

$$\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2(A) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_{n+1}(A_{n+1})$$

This proves our induction hypothesis for  $n + 1$ .

We have proved that for all  $n \geq 2$ , and  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1), \dots, (\Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_n)$ , there exists a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$ , such that for all measurable rectangles  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ ,  $\mu(A) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$ . Note that this is a little bit stronger ( $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite !), than what was required by the actual wording of the question. However the  $\sigma$ -finite property was required to carry out the induction argument, based on exercises (6) and (7).



3. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two measures on  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$ , such that for all measurable rectangles  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ :

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$$

For all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $(\Omega_i^p)_{p \geq 1}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , such that  $\Omega_i^p \uparrow \Omega_i$ , and  $\mu_i(\Omega_i^p) < +\infty$  for all  $p \geq 1$ . Define  $E_p = \Omega_1^p \times \dots \times \Omega_n^p$ . Then  $E_p \uparrow \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n$ , and for all  $p \geq 1$ ,  $\mu(E_p) = \nu(E_p) < +\infty$ . Define:

$$\mathcal{D}_p \triangleq \{A \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n : \mu(A \cap E_p) = \nu(A \cap E_p)\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{D}_p$  is a Dynkin system on  $\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n$ . Moreover, by assumption,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p$ . The set of measurable rectangles  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{F}_n$  being closed under finite intersection, from the Dynkin system theorem (1), we see that  $\mathcal{D}_p$  actually contains the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{F}_n$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n \triangleq \sigma(\mathcal{F}_1 \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{F}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p$$

It follows that for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ , we have:

$$\mu(A \cap E_p) = \nu(A \cap E_p)$$

Using theorem (7), taking the limit as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ . This being true for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ , we conclude that  $\mu = \nu$ . This proves the uniqueness of the measure  $\mu$  on  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$ , denoted  $\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$ , such that  $\mu(A) = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$ , for all measurable rectangles  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ .

4. The fact that  $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$  is  $\sigma$ -finite was actually proved as part of the induction argument of 2. However, it is very easy to justify that point directly: if  $(\Omega_i^p)_{p \geq 1}$  is a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  such that  $\Omega_i^p \uparrow \Omega_i$  and  $\mu(\Omega_i^p) < +\infty$  for all  $p \geq 1$ , defining  $E_p = \Omega_1^p \times \dots \times \Omega_n^p$ , we have  $E_p \uparrow \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n$ , and furthermore:

$$\mu(E_p) = \mu_1(\Omega_1^p) \dots \mu_n(\Omega_n^p) < +\infty$$

So  $\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$  is indeed a  $\sigma$ -finite measure.

5.  $\mu_{i_0} \otimes (\otimes_{i \neq i_0} \mu_i)$  is a measure on  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$  which coincides with  $\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$  on the measurable rectangles. From the uniqueness property proved in 3., the two measures are therefore equal, i.e.  $\mu_{i_0} \otimes (\otimes_{i \neq i_0} \mu_i) = \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$ .

Exercise 8

**Exercise 9.** Showing that definition (63) is legitimate amounts to proving the existence and uniqueness of a measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ , such that for all  $a_i \leq b_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{N}_n$ , we have:

$$\mu([a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n]) = \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) \quad (3)$$

For  $i \in \mathbf{N}_n$ , let  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i)$  be the measure space  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}), dx)$ , where  $dx$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}))$ . Each  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i, \mu_i)$  being  $\sigma$ -finite, from definition (62), there exists a measure  $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$  on  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}))$ , such that for all measurable rectangles  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ , we have:

$$\mu(A) = dx(A_1) \dots dx(A_n) \quad (4)$$

From exercise (18) of Tutorial 6, we have  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R})$ . So  $\mu$  is in fact a measure on  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ . Moreover, taking  $A_i$  of the form  $A_i = [a_i, b_i]$  for  $a_i \leq b_i$ , we see from (4) that equation (3) is satisfied. Hence, we have proved the existence of  $\mu$ . Suppose that  $\nu$

is another measure on  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n))$  satisfying the property of definition (63). Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{[a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_n, b_n] : a_i \leq b_i, \forall i \in \mathbf{N}_n\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under finite intersection. Given  $p \geq 1$ , let  $E_p = [-p, p]^n$ , and define:

$$\mathcal{D}_p \triangleq \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n) : \mu(A \cap E_p) = \nu(A \cap E_p)\}$$

Then  $\mathcal{D}_p$  is a Dynkin system on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and we have  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p$ . From the Dynkin system theorem (1), we see that  $\mathcal{D}_p$  actually contains the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e.  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p$ . However, we claim that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Indeed, from:

$$\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

we obtain  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Furthermore, if we define:

$$\mathcal{E} \triangleq \{[a, b] : a \leq b, a, b \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

then every open set in  $\mathbf{R}$  can be expressed as a countable union of elements of  $\mathcal{E}$  (see the proof of theorem (6)), and it is easy to check

that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{E})$ . From theorem (26), we have:

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}) = \sigma(\mathcal{E} \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{E})$$

Since any element of  $\mathcal{E} \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{E}$  is of the form  $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$  where each  $A_i$  is either equal to  $\mathbf{R} = \cup_{p=1}^{+\infty} [-p, p]$ , or is an element of  $\mathcal{E}$ , any element of  $\mathcal{E} \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{E}$  can in fact be expressed as a countable union of elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{E} \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{E} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$  and consequently,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{E} \amalg \dots \amalg \mathcal{E}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ <sup>1</sup>, and finally  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_p$ . It follows that for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , we have  $\mu(A \cap E_p) = \nu(A \cap E_p)$ . Using theorem (7), taking the limit as  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain  $\mu(A) = \nu(A)$ . This being true for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , we see that  $\mu = \nu$ . We have proved the uniqueness of  $\mu$ .

### Exercise 9

---

<sup>1</sup> We proved something very similar in exercise (7) of Tutorial 6.

**Exercise 10.**

1. For all  $p \geq 1$ , define  $E_p = [-p, p]^n$ . Then,  $E_p \uparrow \mathbf{R}^n$ , and furthermore  $dx^n(E_p) = (2p)^n < +\infty$ , for all  $p \geq 1$ . So  $dx^n$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R}^n))$ .
2. Let  $a_i \leq b_i$  for  $i \in \mathbf{N}_{n+p}$ , and  $A = [a_1, b_1] \times \dots \times [a_{n+p}, b_{n+p}]$ . Then,  $dx^n \otimes dx^p(A) = dx^{n+p}(A) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+p} (b_i - a_i)$ . From the uniqueness property of definition (63), we conclude that:

$$dx^{n+p} = dx^n \otimes dx^p$$

Exercise 10

**Exercise 11.**

1. From exercise (6) and exercise (7), for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2$ , we have:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x)$$

together with:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E) = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} 1_E(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y)$$

Hence:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} 1_E d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} 1_E d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} 1_E d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_2$$

By linearity, it follows that if  $s = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i 1_{E_i}$  is a simple function on  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} s d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} s d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} s d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_2$$



2. Let  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a non-negative and measurable map. From theorem (18), there exists a sequence  $(s_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of simple functions on  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2)$ , such that  $s_n \uparrow f$ . In particular, for all  $x \in \Omega_1$ ,  $s_n(x, \cdot) \uparrow f(x, \cdot)$ . From the monotone convergence theorem (19), for all  $x \in \Omega_1$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} s_n(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y)$$

and applying theorem (19) once more, we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} s_n(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x)$$

and similarly:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} s_n(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y)$$

However, from  $s_n \uparrow f$  and the monotone convergence theorem:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} s_n d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \uparrow \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

Using 1., for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} s_n d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} s_n d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} s_n d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_2$$

Hence, taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f d\mu_1 \right) d\mu_2$$

This proves theorem (31).

Exercise 11

**Exercise 12.**

1. Let  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  be a non-negative and measurable map. Since  $\mu_{\sigma(1)}$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, from exercise (5), the map:

$$J_1 : \omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(1)}(x)$$

is well-defined on  $\prod_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i$ , and measurable w.r. to  $\otimes_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \mathcal{F}_i$ .

2. If  $J_k : (\prod_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \Omega_i, \otimes_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)\}} \mathcal{F}_i) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is non-negative and measurable, for  $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$ , from exercise (5):

$$J_{k+1} : \omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(k+1)}} J_k(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(k+1)}(x)$$

is also well-defined on  $\prod_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k+1)\}} \Omega_i$ , and measurable with respect to  $\otimes_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k+1)\}} \mathcal{F}_i$ .

3. The integral:

$$I = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

can be rigorously defined as:

$$I \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} J_{n-1} d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

where  $J_{n-1}$  is given by 1. and 2.

Exercise 12

**Exercise 13.**

1. Since  $f_p \uparrow f$ , for all  $\omega \in \prod_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i$ , we have  $f_p(\omega, \cdot) \uparrow f(\omega, \cdot)$ . From the monotone convergence theorem (19), we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f_p(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(1)}(x) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(1)}(x)$$

i.e.  $J_1^p \uparrow J_1$ .

2. Suppose  $J_k^p \uparrow J_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ . For all  $\omega \in \prod_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k+1)\}} \Omega_i$ , we have  $J_k^p(\omega, \cdot) \uparrow J_k(\omega, \cdot)$ . From the monotone convergence theorem (19), we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(k+1)}} J_k^p(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(k+1)}(x) \uparrow \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(k+1)}} J_k(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(k+1)}(x)$$

i.e.  $J_{k+1}^p \uparrow J_{k+1}$ .

3. From 2.,  $J_{n-1}^p \uparrow J_{n-1}$ . Again from theorem (19):

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} J_{n-1}^p d\mu_{\sigma(n)} \uparrow \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} J_{n-1} d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

In other words:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f_p d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)} \uparrow \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

4. For all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ , we have:

$$\mu(E) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} 1_E d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

So  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ . If  $(E_p)_{p \geq 1}$  is a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ , and  $E = \uplus_{i=1}^{+\infty} E_i$ , defining for  $p \geq 1$ ,  $f_p = \sum_{i=1}^p 1_{E_i}$ , we have  $f_p \uparrow 1_E$ . It follows from 3.:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f_p d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)} \uparrow \mu(E)$$

By linearity, we obtain  $\sum_{i=1}^p \mu(E_i) \uparrow \mu(E)$ , or equivalently:

$$\mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \mu(E_i)$$

We have proved that  $\mu$  is indeed a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ .

5. Let  $E = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$  be a measurable rectangle of  $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}_n}$ . Then:

$$\mu(E) = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} 1_E d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)} = \mu_1(A_1) \dots \mu_n(A_n)$$

From the uniqueness property of definition (62), it follows that  $\mu$  coincide with the product measure  $\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$ . Hence, for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ , we have:

$$\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n(E) = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} 1_E d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

6. From 5., for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n} 1_E d\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} 1_E d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

If  $s$  is a simple function on  $(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n)$ , by linearity, we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n} s d\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} s d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

Since any  $f : (\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  non-negative and measurable, can be approximated from below by simple functions (theorem (18)), we conclude from the monotone convergence theorem (19) and question 3., that:

$$\int_{\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n} f d\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

This proves theorem (32).

Exercise 13



**Exercise 14.**

1. Suppose  $f \in L^1$ . There exists  $g \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  such that  $f = g$ ,  $\mu$ -a.s. Hence, there exists  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(N) = 0$ , such that  $f(\omega) = g(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in N^c$ . However,  $g$  has values in  $\mathbf{R}$ . So  $|f(\omega)| < +\infty$  for all  $\omega \in N^c$ . It follows that  $|f| < +\infty$   $\mu$ -a.s.
2. We assume the existence of  $A \subseteq \Omega$ , such that  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$  and  $A \subseteq N$ , for some  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(N) = 0$ . Since  $A \notin \mathcal{F}$ ,  $1_A$  is not measurable. However, for all  $\omega \in N^c$ , we have  $1_A(\omega) = 0$ . So  $1_A = 0$ ,  $\mu$ -a.s. Since  $0 \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , we see that  $1_A \in L^1$ .
3. Suppose  $f \in L^1$ . As indicated in 2., we have no guarantee that  $f$  be a measurable map. Hence, the integrals  $\int |f| d\mu$  and  $\int f d\mu$  may not be meaningful.
4. Let  $f : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\bar{\mathbf{R}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}}))$  be a measurable map, such that  $\int |f| d\mu < +\infty$ . In particular, we have  $\mu(\{|f| = +\infty\}) = 0$  (see exercise (7) of Tutorial 5). Define  $g = f1_{\{|f| < +\infty\}}$ . Then,

$f(\omega) = g(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \{|f| < +\infty\}$ . So  $f = g$   $\mu$ -a.s. However,  $g$  is measurable, with values in  $\mathbf{R}$ , and such that:

$$\int |g|d\mu = \int |f|d\mu < +\infty$$

So  $g \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , and finally  $f \in L^1$ .

5. Suppose  $f \in L^1$  and  $f = f_1$   $\mu$ -a.s. for some map  $f_1 : \Omega \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}$ . There exists  $g \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , such that  $f = g$   $\mu$ -a.s. There exists  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(N) = 0$ , such that  $f(\omega) = g(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in N^c$ . Also, there exists  $N_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(N_1) = 0$ , such that  $f(\omega) = f_1(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in N_1^c$ . It follows that  $f_1(\omega) = g(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in (N \cup N_1)^c$ . Since  $\mu(N \cup N_1) \leq \mu(N) + \mu(N_1) = 0$ , we see that  $f_1 = g$   $\mu$ -a.s. We conclude that  $f_1 \in L^1$ .
6. Let  $f \in L^1$ . Let  $g_1, g_2 \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  with  $f = g_1$   $\mu$ -a.s. and  $f = g_2$   $\mu$ -a.s. There exist  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(N_1) = \mu(N_2) = 0$ , such that  $f(\omega) = g_1(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in N_1^c$ , and  $f(\omega) = g_2(\omega)$  for

all  $\omega \in N_2^c$ . So  $g_1(\omega) = g_2(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in (N_1 \cup N_2)^c$ , and  $\mu(N_1 \cup N_2) = 0$ . So  $g_1 = g_2$   $\mu$ -a.s. and finally  $\int g_1 d\mu = \int g_2 d\mu$ .

7. For all  $f \in L^1$ , we define:

$$\int f d\mu \triangleq \int g d\mu \tag{5}$$

where  $g$  is any element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  such that  $f = g$   $\mu$ -a.s. From 6., if  $g_1, g_2 \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  are such that  $f = g_1$   $\mu$ -a.s. and  $f = g_2$   $\mu$ -a.s., then  $\int g_1 d\mu = \int g_2 d\mu$ . So  $\int f d\mu$  is well-defined. If  $f \in L^1 \cap L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , then  $\int f d\mu$  as defined in (5) coincide with  $\int f d\mu$ , in its usual sense.

Exercise 14

**Exercise 15.**

1. By assumption,  $f_n \rightarrow f$   $\mu$ -a.s. There exists  $N \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(N) = 0$ , such that  $f_n(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in N^c$ . Also, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|f_n| \leq h$   $\mu$ -a.s. There exists  $M_n \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(M_n) = 0$  such that  $|f_n(\omega)| \leq h(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in M_n^c$ . Let  $N_1 = N \cup (\cup_{n \geq 1} M_n)$ . Then  $N_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ , and:

$$\mu(N_1) \leq \mu(N) + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \mu(M_n) = 0$$

So  $\mu(N_1) = 0$ . Moreover, for all  $\omega \in N_1^c$ , we have  $f_n(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega)$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|f_n(\omega)| \leq h(\omega)$ .

2. Since  $f \in L^1$ , there exists  $g \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  such that  $f = g$   $\mu$ -a.s. There exists  $N \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(N) = 0$ , such that  $f(\omega) = g(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in N^c$ . Similarly, there exists  $h_1 \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ , and a set  $M'_1 \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\mu(M'_1) = 0$ , such that  $h(\omega) = h_1(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in (M'_1)^c$ . For all  $n \geq 1$ , there exist  $g_n \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  and  $M_n \in \mathcal{F}$

with  $\mu(M_n) = 0$  such that  $g_n(\omega) = f_n(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in M_n^c$ . Let  $N_2 = N \cup M_1' \cup (\cup_{n \geq 1} M_n)$ . Then  $N_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(N_2) = 0$ , and for all  $\omega \in N_2^c$ , we have  $g(\omega) = f(\omega)$ ,  $h_1(\omega) = h(\omega)$  and  $g_n(\omega) = f_n(\omega)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

- Let  $N = N_1 \cup N_2$  where  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  are given by 1. and 2. respectively. Then  $N \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mu(N) = 0$ , and for all  $\omega \in N^c$ , we have  $g_n(\omega) \rightarrow g(\omega)$  and  $|g_n(\omega)| \leq h_1(\omega)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .
- $(g_n 1_{N^c})_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued (in fact  $\mathbf{R}$ -valued) measurable maps, such that  $g_n 1_{N^c}(\omega) \rightarrow g 1_{N^c}(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Moreover,  $h_1 1_{N^c}$  is an element of  $L_{\mathbf{R}}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $|g_n 1_{N^c}| \leq h_1 1_{N^c}$ . Hence, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem (23).
- When  $f, f_n \in L^1$ , we have  $|f_n - f| \in L^1$ , and  $\int |f_n - f| d\mu$  is defined as  $\int k d\mu$  where  $k$  is any element of  $L_{\mathbf{R}}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  such that  $|f_n - f| = k$   $\mu$ -a.s. In fact,  $|g_n - g| \in L_{\mathbf{R}}^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  and  $|f_n - f| = |g_n - g|$   $\mu$ -a.s. So  $\int |f_n - f| d\mu = \int |g_n - g| d\mu$ .

6. From 4., and the dominated convergence theorem (23), we have  $\lim \int 1_{N^c} |g_n - g_n| d\mu = 0$  and consequently,  $\int |g_n - g| d\mu \rightarrow 0$ . It follows from 5. that  $\int |f_n - f| d\mu \rightarrow 0$ .

Exercise 15

**Exercise 16.**

1. We define  $A = \{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1 : \int_{\Omega_2} |f(\omega_1, x)| d\mu_2(x) < +\infty\}$ . From theorem (30), the map  $\phi : \omega_1 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} |f(\omega_1, x)| d\mu_2(x)$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbf{R}})$ . It follows that:

$$A = \phi^{-1}([-\infty, +\infty[) \in \mathcal{F}_1$$

From theorem (31), we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} |f(\omega_1, x)| d\mu_2(x) \right) d\mu_1(\omega_1) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} |f| d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 < +\infty$$

Using exercise (7) (11.) of Tutorial 5, we have  $\mu_1(A^c) = 0$ .

2. For all  $\omega_1 \in A$ , we have  $\int_{\Omega_2} |f(\omega_1, x)| d\mu_2(x) < +\infty$ . From theorem (29), the map  $f(\omega_1, \cdot)$  is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , for all  $\omega_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$ .  $f$  being  $\mathbf{R}$ -valued, we conclude that for all  $\omega_1 \in A$ ,  $f(\omega_1, \cdot) \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$ .

3. For all  $\omega_1 \in A$ , the map  $f(\omega_1, \cdot)$  lies in  $L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$ . Hence,  $\bar{I}(\omega_1) = \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is well-defined for all  $\omega_1 \in A$ .
4. If  $\omega \in A$ , then  $J(\omega) = I(\omega) = \bar{I}(\omega) = \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$ . Hence:

$$J(\omega) = 1_A(\omega) \int_{\Omega_2} f^+(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x) - 1_A(\omega) \int_{\Omega_2} f^-(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$$

This equation still holds if  $\omega \notin A$ .

5.  $\int_{\Omega_2} f^+(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x) < +\infty$  and  $\int_{\Omega_2} f^-(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x) < +\infty$ , for all  $\omega \in A$ . If  $\omega \notin A$ , then  $J(\omega) = 0$ . It follows that  $J(\omega) \in \mathbf{R}$ , for all  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ . From theorem (30),  $\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f^+(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$  and  $\omega \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f^-(\omega, x) d\mu_2(x)$  are  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable maps. Furthermore,  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$ . So  $1_A$  is also an  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable map. From 4. we conclude that  $J$  is itself  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable.

6. For all  $\omega \in \Omega_1$ , using 4., we have:

$$|J(\omega)| \leq \int_{\Omega_2} f^+ d\mu_2 + \int_{\Omega_2} f^- d\mu_2 = \int_{\Omega_2} |f(\omega, x)| d\mu_2(x)$$



and therefore:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} |J(\omega)| d\mu_1(\omega) \leq \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} |f(\omega, x)| d\mu_2(x) \right) d\mu_1(\omega) < +\infty$$

Since  $J$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ -valued and  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -measurable,  $J \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu)$ . Furthermore, for all  $\omega \in A$ , we have  $J(\omega) = I(\omega)$ . Since  $\mu_1(A^c) = 0$ , we conclude that  $J = I$   $\mu_1$ -a.s.

7. The map  $x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y)$  is defined for all  $x \in A$ , but may not be defined for all  $x \in \Omega_1$ . Hence, strictly speaking, the integral  $\int_{\Omega_1} (\int_{\Omega_2} f d\mu_2) d\mu_1$  may not be meaningful. However, whichever way we choose to extend  $x \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y)$  (the map  $I$ ), we have  $J = I$ ,  $\mu_1$ -a.s. where  $J \in L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$ . Following the previous exercise, we see that  $I \in L^1$ , and the integral  $\int_{\Omega_1} I(x) d\mu_1(x)$  can in fact be defined as:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_1} J(x) d\mu_1(x)$$

8. Since  $\mu_1(A^c) = 0$ , we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( 1_A \int_{\Omega_2} f^+ d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f^+ d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1$$

Using theorem (31), we conclude that:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( 1_A \int_{\Omega_2} f^+ d\mu_2 \right) d\mu_1 = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f^+ d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

9. Using 4., 8. and its counterpart for  $f^-$ , we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} J(x) d\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f^+ d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 - \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f^- d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

In other words:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

10. Suppose that  $f \in L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ , i.e. we no longer assume that  $f$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ -valued. Then  $f = u + iv$  where

both  $u$  and  $v$  are elements of  $L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ . Applying 6. the map  $\omega_1 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} u(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$  and the map  $\omega_1 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} v(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$  are  $\mu_1$ -almost surely equal to elements of  $L^1_{\mathbf{R}}(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$  (say  $J_u$  and  $J_v$  respectively). Furthermore, from (1) we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} u(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} u d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

and:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} v(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} v d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

It follows that  $\omega_1 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_2} f(\omega_1, x) d\mu_2(x)$  is  $\mu_1$ -almost surely equal to  $J_u + iJ_v \in L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$ , and:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} f(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_1} (J_u + iJ_v) d\mu_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\Omega_1} J_u d\mu_1 + i \int_{\Omega_1} J_v d\mu_1 \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} u(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) \\ &+ i \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} v(x, y) d\mu_2(y) \right) d\mu_1(x) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} u d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \\ &+ i \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} v d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \end{aligned}$$

This proves equation (1).

11. From 5. of exercise (1), the map  $\theta$  is measurable. It follows that  $f \circ \theta : (\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is indeed non-negative and

measurable. Furthermore, from theorem (31), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} f \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 &= \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f \circ \theta(\omega_2, \omega_1) d\mu_1(\omega_1) \right) d\mu_2(\omega_2) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f(\omega_1, \omega_2) d\mu_1(\omega_1) \right) d\mu_2(\omega_2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Theorem (31)} \rightarrow = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

12. From 5. of exercise (1), the map  $\theta$  is measurable. So  $f \circ \theta$  is itself measurable. Applying 11. to  $|f|$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} |f \circ \theta| d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 &= \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} |f| \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} |f| d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

So  $f \circ \theta \in L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_2 \otimes \mu_1)$ . If  $u = \text{Re}(f)$  and

$v = \text{Im}(f)$ , using 11. once more, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} f \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 &= \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} u^+ \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 \\
 &\quad - \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} u^- \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 \\
 &\quad + i \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} v^+ \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 \\
 &\quad - i \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} v^- \circ \theta d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1 \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} u^+ d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 - \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} u^- d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \\
 &\quad + i \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} v^+ d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 - i \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} v^- d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2 \\
 &= \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2
 \end{aligned}$$

13. Let  $f \in L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)$ . From 12.  $g = f \circ \theta$  is an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_2 \otimes \mu_1)$ . Applying 10. to  $g$ , it follows that the map  $\omega_2 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_1} g(\omega_2, x) d\mu_1(x)$  is  $\mu_2$ -almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$ . In other words, the map  $\omega_2 \rightarrow \int_{\Omega_1} f(x, \omega_2) d\mu_1(x)$  is  $\mu_2$ -almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$ . Furthermore, we have:

$$\int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} f(x, y) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y) = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} g(y, x) d\mu_1(x) \right) d\mu_2(y)$$

$$\text{From 10. } \rightarrow = \int_{\Omega_2 \times \Omega_1} g d\mu_2 \otimes \mu_1$$

$$\text{From 12. } \rightarrow = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} f d\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$$

This completes the proof of theorem (33).

Exercise 16

**Exercise 17.**

1. Let  $f \in L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n, \mathcal{F}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{F}_n, \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n)$ . Define  $E_1 = \prod_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i$ ,  $E_2 = \Omega_{\sigma(1)}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \otimes_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2 = \mathcal{F}_{\sigma(1)}$ . Let  $\nu_1 = \otimes_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \mu_i$  and  $\nu_2 = \mu_{\sigma(1)}$ . Then:

$$f \in L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(E_1 \times E_2, \mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2, \nu_1 \otimes \nu_2)$$

From theorem (33), the map  $\omega \rightarrow \int_{E_2} f(\omega, x) d\nu_2(x)$  (defined  $\nu_1$ -almost surely and arbitrarily extended on  $E_1$ ), is  $\nu_1$ -almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(E_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \nu_1)$ . In other words:

$$J_1(\omega) \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(1)}(x)$$

is almost surely<sup>2</sup> equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\prod_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i)$ <sup>3</sup>.

2.  $J_{k+1}$  is a.s. equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\prod_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k+1)\}} \Omega_i)$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>A case of sloppy terminology: we are trying to make the whole thing readable.

<sup>3</sup>A case of sloppy notations.



3. From 1.,  $J_1(\omega) = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(1)}(x)$  is almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Pi_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i)$ , say  $\bar{J}_1$ . Similarly, from 2.,  $J_2(\omega) = \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(2)}} \bar{J}_1(\omega, x) d\mu_{\sigma(2)}(x)$  is almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Pi_{i \notin \{\sigma(1), \sigma(2)\}} \Omega_i)$ , say  $\bar{J}_2$ . By induction, we obtain a map  $J_{n-1}$  defined on  $\Omega_{\sigma(n)}$ , and  $\mu_{\sigma(n)}$ -almost surely equal to an element of  $L^1_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega_{\sigma(n)})$ , say  $\bar{J}_{n-1}$ . We define:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)} \triangleq \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \bar{J}_{n-1} d\mu_{\sigma(n)}$$

This multiple integral is a well-defined complex number. It is easy to check by induction that which ever choice is made of  $\bar{J}_1, \dots, \bar{J}_{n-2}$ , the map  $\bar{J}_{n-1}$  is unique up to  $\mu_{\sigma(n)}$ -almost sure equality. Hence, this multiple integral is uniquely defined.

4. From theorem (33), we have:

$$\int_{\Pi_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \Omega_i} \bar{J}_1(\omega) d \otimes_{i \neq \sigma(1)} \mu_i = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n} f d\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$$

Following an induction argument, we obtain:

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \bar{J}_{n-1} d\mu_{\sigma(n)} = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n} f d\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\Omega_{\sigma(n)}} \dots \int_{\Omega_{\sigma(1)}} f d\mu_{\sigma(1)} \dots d\mu_{\sigma(n)} = \int_{\Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_n} f d\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$$

This solution is not as detailed as it could have been...

Exercise 17